1	LISA J. DEMSKY (State Bar No. 186006)						
2	Lisa.Demsky@mto.com JESSICA O. LAIRD (State Bar No. 331713)						
3	Jessica.Laird@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP						
4	350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-3426						
5	Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702						
6							
7	Attorneys for Non-Party Riot Games, Inc.						
8							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
0	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
1	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 21-md-02981-JD					
2	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	DECLARATION OF DAN NABEL IN					
3	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	SUPPORT OF NON-PARTY RIOT GAMES, INC'S ADMINISTRATIVE					
4	In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation,	MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF THE TRIAL RECORD					
5	No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	THE TRIAL RECORD					
6	Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al., No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Judge: Hon. James Donato					
7	3.20-CV-03071-3D	Judge. Holl. James Dollato					
8							
9	I, Dan Nabel, declare as follows:						
20	I am the Associate General Couns	el for non-party Riot Games, Inc. ("Riot"). I					
21	have been employed by Riot in the Legal Department since 2015. Based on my responsibilities						
22	and experience at Riot I am familiar with Riot's treatment and protection of confidential						
23	information, including highly sensitive information regarding strategy in negotiating deals and						
24	strategy relating to competitors in the industry. I am over the age of 18 and competent to testify to						
25	the matters in this Declaration. I make this Declaration on the basis of my personal knowledge.						
26	2. I file this Declaration in support of Riot's Administrative Motion to Seal Portions						
27	of the Trial Record. I have reviewed the Exhibits and testimony listed in the below chart provided						
28							

-1- Case No. 21-md-02981-JD
DECLARATION ISO OF RIOT'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF THE TRIAL RECORD

3

2

456

8

9

7

11

10

13

14

12

15

16 17

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

2728

to Riot by counsel for Google. The Exhibits and testimony I reviewed are non-public information relating to Riot that is sensitive and highly confidential.

- 3. Specifically, the portions of the Sottosanti Deposition and Exhibits thereto, as indicated in the below chart and Riot's moving papers, Mot. at 4-6, reflect or reference highly confidential negotiating strategy and internal discussions relating to the terms of an agreement with Google, as well as internal discussions of Riot's confidential engineering and technical strategy and capabilities that should be maintained under seal. Riot keeps this information confidential, even within Riot, and limits its disclosure to those employees whose job responsibilities require it. In addition, Riot does not disclose this information publicly and takes steps to shield it from public disclosure. Riot has ongoing and future negotiations and business dealings with both Google and Epic, as well as other competitors who are not parties to this litigation. For example, Riot is currently in active, highly confidential negotiations with another platform for the distribution of its content. If this highly confidential information is publicly disclosed, it could be utilized by Riot's competitors to Riot's commercial detriment in current negotiations and future business transactions. This is especially true because the descriptions of some of the considerations, strategies, negotiation points, or terms in the below-referenced documents may be inaccurate and/or misleading without further context, which context also is highly confidential and non-public. Competitors seeking to make inroads with Google could also copy the contractual provisions that Riot negotiated with Google. That would obviate any competitive advantage Riot obtained through its negotiations with Google.
- 4. The below chart lists the portions of the Sottosanti Deposition and Exhibits marked during the Sottosanti Deposition that Riot understands were admitted at trial and that it believes are sealable for the reasons stated in Paragraph 3 of this declaration:

Category of Highly Confidential Information	Sottosanti Deposition Exhibit (Bates Number)	Sottosanti Deposition Testimony (page & line numbers)	Description of Testimony
Negotiation	1221 (GOOG-	34:2–35:16; 35:18; 35:20–	Exhibit 1221 is an internal
strategy and considerations	RIOT-	37:7; 38:2–39:10; 39:12-13; 39:18–40:12, 40:14–17;	Riot presentation following a meeting with Google
regarding content delivery	0000333);	80:23–81:1; 81:6–82:14; 82:16–83:36; 83:8; 83:10–	describing negotiation strategy and considerations
and market	1229 (GOOG-	15; 104:25–105:2; 104:13–	that may affect active
strategy	RIOT-	15: 104:17–23; 145:1–2; 145:4–15; 146:11–12;	negotiations. This testimony describes that presentation
	0000046)	146:17–25; 147:2–4; 148:7–10; 148:17–149:8;	document and explains that the document contains
		149:11; 150:4–13; 150:16– 17; 150:19–20; 150:22–24;	internal "thoughts and recommendations" (34:10 –
		151:2– 6; 151:22–152:11; 152:22–153:7; 153:9;	11) regarding content delivery and market strategy.
		153:11–12; 153:14; 153:16– 22; 153:23–24; 154:1–3;	Exhibit 1229 is an internal
		154:5–13; 154:15–21;	Riot email communication
		165:17–22; 166:11–12; 166:21–22; 166:24; 167:2–	summarizing an agreement with Google regarding
		7; 167:9; 167:11–16; 167:22–24; 168:1–8;	content delivery and Riot's internal negotiation strategy
		168:10–14; 168:21–25; 169:6–8; 169:11–15;	and considerations that may affect active negotiations.
		170:24–171:18; 171:20–	arrect active negotiations.
		172:2 172:11–16; 172:18; 172:20–21; 172:23; 172:25–	
		173:8; 174:5–10; 174:14– 19; 174:21; 175:8–11;	
		175:16–20; 175:22; 178:1– 2; 179:21–180:10; 180:12;	
		180:14–15; 180:17–18; 180:20–23; 191:9–11;	
Technical	1222 (COOC	191:13	Exhibit 1222 is an internal
capabilities and	1222 (GOOG- RIOT-	155:13–156:22; 157:25– 158:6; 158:8–11; 158:22–	Riot document summarizing
content delivery considerations	0000347)	24; 163:7–8; 163:10–15; 163: 20–22; 163:24–25;	technical capabilities and content delivery
	0000347)	164: 2–4; 164:8–165:10; 165:12; 165:14–16	considerations. This testimony describes that
		, -	document and explains that the document contains
			"educational" information
			from internal "technical people to business people" at

	<u> </u>			
1				Riot (158:9 – 10) regarding content delivery
2				considerations and strategy,
3				which if revealed would
4				competitively disadvantage Riot.
	Negotiation	1226 (GOOG-	58:11-60:16; 61:5-62:15;	Exhibit 1226 is an internal
5	strategy and considerations	RIOT-	62:22-25; 63:2-5; 63:14- 18; 63:20; 63:22-23: 63:25;	Riot document following a meeting with Google
6	relating to	0000105)	64:2–8; 64:10–23; 65:1–7;	describing negotiation
7	internal	0000105)	181:25–182:6; 182:14–23;	strategy and considerations.
8	impressions of confidential		182:25–183:1; 183:6–10; 183:12; 183:14–184:1	This testimony describes that document and explains that
	meetings		, ,	the document contains
9				"subtext" from the meeting rather than the express
10				discussion (59:17 – 21). It
11				reveals negotiation strategy
12				not disclosed to persons outside the Riot negotiating
				team that may affect active
13	NI 4° - 4°	1227 (COOC	(0.5, 14, (0.16	negotiations.
14	Negotiation strategy for	1227 (GOOG-	69:5–14; 69:16	Exhibit 1227 is an internal Riot presentation
15	particular deal	RIOT-		summarizing negotiation
16	terms	0000034)		strategy and considerations for particular agreement terms
				that may affect active term
17				negotiations with other parties. This testimony
18				describes that document and
19				explains that it refers to
20				negotiation considerations and Riot's expectations regarding
21				terms of an agreement with
41				Google.
22 1				

Exhibits 1221, 1222, 1226, 1227, 1229, and the testimony that refers to them contain Riot's confidential information that was previously produced by Riot and designated "Highly Confidential - Outside Counsel Eyes Only" pursuant to the operative protective orders (*see, e.g.*,

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, ECF 248).

- 1						
1	5. The sealing request is narrowly tailored to the confidential business information of					
2	Riot. Additionally, to my knowledge, no party to this action has expressed an intent to oppose					
3	Riot's request to keep this information under seal.					
4						
5	I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY that the foregoing is true and correct.					
6	EXECUTED at Los Angeles, California this 8th day of December, 2023					
7						
8	Dannell					
9	Dan Nabel					
10						
11	<u>ATTESTATION</u>					
12	I, Lisa J. Demsky, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send electronic notification of such filing to all registered counsel. In compliance with Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that					
13						
14	all signatories concur with this filing.					
15	Dated: December 8, 2023 /s/ Lisa J. Demsky Lisa J. Demsky					
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						